

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>09/784,497</u>	Examiner : <u>HSH Khuong</u>	GAU : <u>2665</u>
From : <u>MR</u>	Location : <u>IDC FMF FDC</u>	Date : <u>05-12-05</u>
Tracking # : <u>EP09784497</u>		Week Date : <u>05-04-05</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>NOA 03-24-05</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

MAY 24 2005

RECEIVED

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Please clarify the insertion amendments for original claims 21 and 41. For claim 21 the 1st insertion mentioned to replace "second IP connection" but no word connection listed, end point is listed. And on claim 41 is the same thing. See NOA 03-24-05

Please advise.

Thank you,
MR

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: In the examiner amendment dated 3/24/2005, Regarding claim 21, the words "line 12" should have been "lines 11-12". Regarding claim 41, the words "line 6, "a second IP connection" should have been "line 6, "a second bearer connection". Regarding claim 41, the words "line 14" should have been "lines 13-14"

INITIALS: LK

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.
REV 10/04